

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF MONROE

JAMES O. YOUNG

Affidavit of 1st Class Mail Service

PLAINTIFF

42 U.S.C.S. 1983

against

Index No. 24-CV-6366-FPG

MONROE COUNTY (MUNICIPALITY)

monroe county sheriff "Todd Baxter"

Monroe County "SGT. Prince"

Monroe County "CPL Thorpe"

RESPONDANTS

JURY DEMAND FOR TRAIL

STATES DISTRICT COLUMN

JUN 12 2024

PLEASE LET IF BE KNOWN; The above Plaintiff, James O. Young, being duly deposed and sworn does herebyt state:

I/I is part of the enclosed action, over the age of 18 years of age and did mail "Truew Copies" of the nclosed by placing the documents in a pre-paid package, placing such pacjng said package in the Prison mail recepticale under the exclusive control of the U.S. Postal Serv.

Copies of Affidavit of Service, Motion, and Affidavit were mailed from Upstate Correctional PO Box 2001 M lone NY 12953, and sent to Chiel Clerk,

2012 US Courthouse 100 State St. Rochester, NY 14614 upon this 1

day of NNE ,2024 8 april 1

DATED: June 7 2024

James O. Young

sworn before me this 77 day

of Time

2024

JAMIE A SURROUGHS Notary Public, State of New York No. 01BU6427833

Qualified in Franklin County

My Commission Expires January 03, 2026

Case 6:24-cv-06366-FPG Document 1 Filed 06/12/24 Page 2 of 8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

COUNTY MONROE

James O. Young

Plaintiff

MOTION

vs.

\$@42 USCA 1983

Monroe County (municipality)

Index#:6:22-cv-06471

Monroe County Sheriff "TODD BAXTER"

Jury Trail Demanded

Monroe County "SGT. PRINCE"

Monroe County "CPL THROPE"

Defendment(s)

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT:

This "Pro-Se" civil action, liberally and generally construed is brought under 42 U.S.C.A. 1983, where herin the Plaintiff James O. Young hereinafter known as the "Plaintiff"; brinmgs action of the above mentione defendants and an all in thier individual/proffesional capacities. Defendant 1 "monor Couty (municipality. Defendant 2 "Monroe County Sheriff "Todd Baxter", Defendant 3 Monroe County "SGT. Prince" and Monroe County CPL XINNE Thorpe". Under this action there comes this claim of voilation of "Clearly Established RIGHT" and the guarantess those rights protect since 1791.

Thes named defendants failed in training or being trained, will-full indifference to the safety of Plaintiff, wantoningly putting the Plaintiff in serious danger and having personal knowledge of knowinbg or should have known their actions created undue harm from physical voilence from other I/I, and wantoningly did the actions.

Amendment 1 voilation of Freedom of Speech and to address the government for grievences, Amendment 4 People to be free and secure in their persons, papers, Amendmant 11 To not deprive a person life liberty and property without Due Process, Amendment 8 Cruel and uu-usual punishment, Amendment 14 Due Process and Equal Protection.

COMPLAINT AND ARGUMENT:

- 1. On or about October 4, 2022 Dep. BelMonte (Law Library Dep.) brought "legal Mail", a full color catalog from the US Sent--enticing Commission to be photcopied and given to Plaintiff.
- 2. Plaitiff asked the Dep½ Belmonte to check with the on duty SGT to see if the jail did not photocopy the catalog for the copying makes the catalog hard to read and pages go missinn.
- 3. First Lock-In at 5 p.m. Plaintiff was om bunk when SGT Price opened cell door and when Plaintiff asked what was up? The SGT Price became extremely hostile and thern tossed the photo copied catalog into the Plaintiffd cell on the floor and did state "You do NOT have the right to F***ing decline any mail, you don't run this F***ing jail, WEDO!!". The door to cell 29 then slams shut.
- 4. Arounbd lock-in time (9 p.m.) SGT Prince returned to Plain-iffs cell door 29 and stated "Here id the copy of the Legal
 Mail" as it was placed upon the bunk. Plaintiff did notice a
 new recruit was with SGT Prince. Plaintiff verbally apologized
 for upsetting the SGT to which SGT Prince states "I was not
 mad and I did not throw anything" then she slammed the door
 and went about her rounds.
- 5. Monroe County Jail has tower units for min, med, and max I/I for Felons of serious nature there is the "Main Frame" which does not have the same emmentities as the towers do (vending machine i.e.). The Main Frame is also much much smaller in cell size to.
- 6. During the process of intake and such, the I/I charges to the voilation are recorded in the computer and any and all Sheridd deputies known of such charges to make housing more ammicable.

and for security and sfety for the I/I.

- 7. The Monroe County Jails "Main Frame" housing unit is used to house Max. I/I only and it used for punishment for when a Min. or Med. I/I casnnowst getalong in the Tower Units.
- 8. Accortding to Deputies from Monroe County Jail, they state that they can move any I/I for any reason and at anytime they choose, regardless of safety, security and good order of the jail.
- 9. Upon October 5, 2022 or therein, XEETX Thorpe CPL. entered the Plaintiffs housing unit requesting to speak out in the hall-way. Plaintiff went to hall and was told that they were going to move Plaintiff. When asked where they were moving Plaintiff to, CPL. Thorpe said "I have order ticket to re-house you to the "FRAME".
- 10. Plaintiff made it specifically clear that he should not be re-housed in the "Frame" due to the type of charhes Plaintiff
 was convicted of, and that it Plaintiff in severe danger for
 assualt or even worse. Plaintiff did ask CPL Thorpe who made
 the housing request, but CPL Thorpe refused to answer. The
 Plaintiff knew it was from SGT Prince from the night before.
- 11. Plaintiff had a clean housing record, and should NOT have been re-housed to the "Frame", just because of the charges and where people in the "Frame" knew of Plaintiffs charges where he was threatened with voilence, and had been assualtred already in Tower Housing Unit already.
- 12. Plaintiff tried to explain to CPL. Thorpe that with the chages against him, housing Plaintiff in the frame created undue stress, anxiety, fear and put Plaintiff in position to have undue harm done to him.

Case 6:24-cv-06366-FPG Document 1 Filed 06/12/24 Page 5 of 8

- 13. CPL. Thorpe is being sued in his individual and official capacity.
- 12. SGT. Prince is being suewd in her individual and official capacity.
- 13. Being administrator, law maker for Monroe County, setting the rules of the Monroe County Jail, being an advocate for Monroe County for funds for Monroe County in Washington D.C. also making policies for Monroe County and being paid by Monroe County, Sheriff Todd Baxter is also being sued in his individual and officiasl capacity.
- 14. Monroe County (municipality) is being named for their policy maker, lobbyist, law maker, training of Depities, hiring of deputies on behalf of Monroe County iteslef, does make the County laible for the state actor, Sheriif Todd Baxter, to be laible for the Monroe County itself.
- 15. Accordingly, SGT. Prince and CPL Thrope are all aware of the Plaintiff's charges and both have been deputies long enough to that the specific charges the Plaintiff had, there was a REAL threat to Plaintiff's well being and both wantoningly ignored that very fact intentional and personally.
- 16. Monroe County Sheriff TODD BAXTER knew or should have known this type of action for disciplinary or to prove a point of his SGT.'s and CPL's was an ongoing problemm and by not correct—ing the issue had voilated the Plaintiff's clesarly established rights to be safe and secure under the Sheriff.
- 17. Pruir to this incident, Plaintiff was housed in 4S cell 29 where also assaulted by another I/I.
- 18. Plaintiff nows suffers from loss of hearing in the left ear and permanent ringering in the same ear.
- 13. Plaintiff also suffers from "long Hauler Syndrome" due to the County J_{ail_S} ineffective protocals to protect the I/I population.

- 20. October 2,2022 or thereo, after I/I was more and moved from the "Frame" cell block; the on duty deputy put I/I in a cell (to be named in discovery) with an all ethnic population where I/I was assaulted thervery next morning. Receiving a blow to to the face and specifically the faont rightsj w bone. To date I/I still has pain in that area.
- 21. Under PLRA, I/I did try and use the grievance system, even tho the system is non-functining leaving the I/I with untendable ways to address the Government a out a Constitutional right voilation, which in turn does still let the I/I to file a action under 42 U.S.C. B1983 for PLRA is administrative action

PRAYER FOR RELIEF AN REMEDY

Under 2 U.S.C.A 1983, I/I does request the following relief and remedy fo the wantoningly, arbritrary and capriscious with personal knowledge knwoledge, or should have known the true events mentioned within this Motion.

With the ability to collect on anu jddgment th ugh an workers insurance bond or other type of insurance and bonds that cover any of the alledged. Imme iate halt to moving vulnerable I/I into any harmful and dangerous situation a swell as:

MONETARY DAMAGES \$850,000.00 (eight hundred fifty thousand dollarse)

PUNITIVE DAMAGES:

PTSD, intentional emotional distress, ongoing physical &phsychological damage, retailation, harassment, anxiety, depressino, reasonable attorney cost, ongoing and future medical and psychological expenses, Marshal Costs, all postage costs, filing fees c r ed, pain and

suffering

Case 6:24-cv-06366-FPG Document 1 Filed 06/12/24 Page 7 of 8

relief requested is to be equitable and fair to any and all related parties, and any juryaward shall also be deemed the same.

These above mentioned actions fall under preexisting and established laws 1 Amend. "+Free Sppech", "Ability to redress grievances withthe Government". 5 Amend. "Due Process of Law". 8 Amend. "To be free un-usual and crule punishment". 14 Amend. "Due Process and Equal Protection of Law". Clearly Established Rights vua NY State Constitution under bill of rights. Tese reights and this action have now "Stated a Claim" where relief and remedy maybe sought.

**Any and all inforamtion herein is to be believed and true, correct an with personal knowledge un the law of perjury.

DATED: June 7 2024

James 9. Young

Pro-Se

sworn before me this The day of

2024.

/ , _ /

JUNE

JAMIE A. BURITOUGHS Notary Public, State of New York No. 01BU6427833

Qualified in Franklin County

My Commission Expires January 03, 2026

Case 6:24-cv-06366-FPG Document 1 Filed 06/12/24 Page 8 of 8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF MONROE

JAMES O. YOUNG

PLAINITFF

AFFIDAVIT

a gainst

42 U.SCA B1983

MONROE COUNTY (municipality)

Index No. 24-CV-6366 - FAG

MONROE COUNTY SHERIFF "TODD BAXTER"

RJI No.

MONROE COUNTY "SGT. Prince"
MONROE COUNTY "CPL. Thorpe"

Jury Trial Demanded

DEFEN DANT

PLEASE LET IT BE KNOWN: the above mentioned Plaintiff, James O. Young, being part of this action and being duly sworn and deposed does hereby state:

Plaintiff is over the age o 18 years of age, a citizen of the United STates of America and a resident of the State of New York. Plaintiff has mailed "True Copies" of all nclosed documents and;

Mailed to Defendants and or legal representation who are natural citizens and allowed to sign for service on behalf of any domestic corporations within New York state in thier official or individual capacities.

DATED: June 7 2024

Pro-Se

sworn before me this 774 day

of JUNE

2024

SAMIE A. BURROUGHS
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 01 BU6427833
Qualified in Franklin County
W Commission Empires Jenuary 03. 2026